



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,298	04/19/2001	In Soo Kim	P-215	9592

34610 7590 03/27/2006

FLESHNER & KIM, LLP
P.O. BOX 221200
CHANTILLY, VA 20153

EXAMINER

KNOWLIN, THJUAN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/837,298	KIM, IN SOO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-13,16 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7, 9-13, 16, and 18-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on January 06, 2006 has been entered. Claims 2, 4, 11, 12, and 19 have been amended. Claims 6, 8, 14-15, and 17 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 16, and 18-25 are now pending in this application, with claims 1, 10, and 18 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 7, 9-10, 16, 18, and 21-25 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al (US 6,463, 144), in view of Karpicke (US 5,528,680).

3. In regards to claims 1, 10, 18, 21, 22, and 23, Dunn discloses a method for identifying a calling party number of a switching system comprising: checking whether a termination subscriber has registered for a calling party number call-back service when a call set-up is requested; storing a calling party number of an origination subscriber (e.g. calling party/originator) if the termination subscriber (e.g. called party/terminating user) has registered for the calling party number call-back service (See col. 6 lines 1-12); announcing (e.g. voice message) the stored calling party number to the termination

subscriber if a calling party number confirming request is received from the termination subscriber (See col. 6 lines 13-20). Dunn, however, does not disclose wherein storing the calling party number comprises: comparing an area code of the calling party number with an area code of the termination subscriber; and storing the calling party number exclusive of the area code if the two area codes are identical to each other, and storing both the area code and the corresponding calling party number if the two area codes are different from each other. Karpicke, however, does disclose wherein storing the calling party number comprises: comparing an area code of the calling party number with an area code of the termination subscriber; and storing the calling party number exclusive of the area code if the two area codes are identical to each other, and storing both the area code and the corresponding calling party number if the two area codes are different from each other (See Abstract and col. 4-5 lines 51-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ these features within the method, as a way of providing a call-back service to a called party, while storing the area code of the calling party based upon whether or not the area code of the calling party and called party are different or not.

4. In regards to claim 7, Dunn discloses the method, wherein the predetermined form refers to a voice announcement or a display form (See col. 6 lines 28-32).

5. In regards to claims 9 and 16, Dunn discloses the method, wherein the calling party number includes a plurality of calling party numbers, which are displayed on a display unit, each calling party number being assigned with a number sequentially (See col. 6 lines 7-13).

6. In regards to claims 24 and 25, Dunn discloses the method, wherein announcing the calling party number comprises: sensing input of a code (e.g. user ID/telephone number) from the termination subscriber; checking whether the sensed code is a code for confirming the calling party number; announcing (announcement to POTS phones or voice mail) the calling party number of the origination subscriber stored in the memory in a predetermined form to the termination subscriber if the sensed code is a calling party number confirmation code (See col. 6 lines 21-32); and requesting the termination subscriber select whether or not the announced calling party number is automatically called back (See col. 6 lines 40-65).

7. Claims 2-3, 4-5, 11-13, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al (US (US 6,463, 144), in view of Karpicke (US 5,528,680), and further in view of Farris (US 5,692,033).

8. In regards to claims 2 and 11, Dunn and Karpicke disclose of the claims 2 and 11 limitations, except the method, wherein identifying a calling party number comprises: requesting the calling party number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intra-office call; checking whether a switching system of an intra-office is a single station when the calling party number is informed; and storing the calling party number informed by the origination processing unit in a data base if the switching system of an intra-office is single station. Farris, however, does disclose the method, wherein identifying a calling party number comprises: requesting the calling party

number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intra-office call; checking whether a switching system of an intra-office is a single station when the calling party number is informed; and storing the calling party number informed by the origination processing unit in a data base if the switching system of an intra-office is single station (See col. 7 lines 29-41 and col. 11 lines 57-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ this feature within the method, as a way of providing a call-back service to a called party, in which, the calling party is sending an intra-office call from a single station.

9. In regards to claim 3, Dunn and Karpicke disclose all of claim 3 limitations, except the method, wherein the calling party number is not stored if a terminal of the termination subscriber is busy. Farris, however, does disclose the method, wherein the calling party number is not stored if a terminal of the termination subscriber is busy (See col. 7 lines 50-58 and col. 9 lines 32-37).

10. In regards to claim 4, 5, 12, 13, 19, and 20, Dunn and Karpicke disclose all of claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 19, and 20 limitations, except the method, wherein storing the calling party number comprises: requesting a calling party number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intra-office call; and checking whether a switching system of the intra-office is a multi-station when the calling party number is informed. Farris, however, does disclose the method, wherein storing the calling party number comprises: requesting a calling party number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intra-office call and checking whether a switching system

of the intra-office is a multi-station when the calling party number is informed (See col. 7 lines 29-41 and col. 11 lines 57-63).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 16, and 18-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Malik (US 6,173,049) teaches a system and method for automated provision and customer selection of temporary caller identification services.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2642

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin



WING CHAN
SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800